

# Fwd: Palisair

4 messages

### Larry Friedman < lpfriedman1@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 4, 2015 at 10:12 PM

To: Patti Gallagher <p.gallagher2@verizon.net>, "Di Saia, Steven" <Steven.DiSaia@sedgwicklaw.com>, Karen Olan <karenolan@beckermed.com>, Miriam Schulman <miriamschulman@hotmail.com>, Diana Ungerleider <dianaunger3@gmail.com>, Syd Vinnedge <syd.vinnedge@gmail.com>, Vi Walquist <viwalquist@gmail.com>

FYI Remember, confidential.
Forwarded message From: Marc Rohatiner <mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com> Date: Wed, Feb 4, 2015 at 9:08 PM Subject: Palisair To: Lesley Skoro Isaacs <lesskoi@aol.com> Cc: Dawn Hill <mrsfourthojly@aol.com>, "LARRY FRIEDMAN (lpfriedman1@gmail.com)" <lpfriedman1@gmail.com>, "Dr. Francine Kirkpatrick" <drfkirk@verizon.net></drfkirk@verizon.net></lpfriedman1@gmail.com></mrsfourthojly@aol.com></lesskoi@aol.com></mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com>
Lesley:

I spoke to Dawn this afternoon. In general, she indicated that it appears to her architect that the plans incorporate the compromise position and she is grateful for that. Before signing off on the revised plans, she has two requests, both of which are directly related to the one issue we have been discussing and not any other elements of the plan.

- 1. As indicated, while the plans appear to capture what was intended, they have requested the right to verify that fact by paying for the installation of story poles based on the revised plans.
- 2. I think (and Dawn will correct me if I have not captured this) it appears that in order to attain the height reduction, the location of the porch may have been extended. Her architect cannot answer that question by looking at the revised plans. Can you find out from your architect if the location of the porch has been extended and if so, by how much.

In my view, the requests are reasonable and I hope that we can continue to move towards a resolution. I look forward to hearing form you.

Very truly yours,

Marc Rohatiner

Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP

11400 W. Olympic Blvd.

9th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90064

PH: 310-478-4100 Ext. 6619

FAX: 310-479-1422

E-Mail: mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive e-mails for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail to mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com, and delete the message. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by U.S. Treasury Regulation Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

--

Larry Friedman

# Larry Friedman < lpfriedman1@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 5, 2015 at 10:19 AM

To: Miriam Schulman <miriamschulman@hotmail.com>, Vi Walquist <viwalquist@gmail.com>, Diana Ungerleider <dianaunger3@gmail.com>, Syd Vinnedge <syd.vinnedge@gmail.com>

Fyi

I will send Marc a note.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Lesley Skoro Isaacs <lesskoi@aol.com> Date: February 5, 2015 at 8:17:24 AM PST

To: Marc Rohatiner <mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com>

Cc: Dawn Hill <mrsfourthojly@aol.com>, "LARRY FRIEDMAN (lpfriedman1@gmail.com)"

<lpfriedman1@gmail.com>, "Dr. Francine Kirkpatrick" <drfkirk@verizon.net>

Subject: Re: Palisair

Marc, the porch is exactly where it was since plan #1. We are now on plan #6. Yes, plan #6. It has not been extended one inch. These are our SIXTH set of plans and the porch is in the exact same place as in plan #1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and now 6. The porch extension already was shown in the first set of story poles which were put up back in July, 2014.

No more story poles. The current ones have been up since early November and they still haven't had them removed. (The hills put those up). We are doneThey can sign off or not. Their choice. We have gone above and beyond for The Hills (every single one of those plan changes has been to appease them) and we are now proceeding, with their consent or not.

Thank you, Lesley

#### Sent from my iPad

On Feb 4, 2015, at 9:08 PM, Marc Rohatiner <mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com> wrote:

Lesley:

I spoke to Dawn this afternoon. In general, she indicated that it appears to her architect that the plans incorporate the compromise position and she is grateful for that. Before signing off on the revised plans, she has two requests, both of which are directly related to the one issue we have been discussing and not any other elements of the plan.

- 1. As indicated, while the plans appear to capture what was intended, they have requested the right to verify that fact by paying for the installation of story poles based on the revised plans.
- 2. I think (and Dawn will correct me if I have not captured this) it appears that in order to attain the height reduction, the location of the porch may have been extended. Her architect cannot answer that question by looking at the revised plans. Can you find out from your architect if the location of the porch has been extended and if so, by how much.

In my view, the requests are reasonable and I hope that we can continue to move towards a resolution. I look forward to hearing form you.

Very truly yours,

Marc Rohatiner

Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP

11400 W. Olympic Blvd.

9th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90064

PH: 310-478-4100 Ext. 6619

FAX: 310-479-1422

E-Mail: mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive e-mails for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If

you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail to mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com, and delete the message. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by U.S. Treasury Regulation Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

#### Larry Friedman < lpfriedman1@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 5, 2015 at 10:32 AM

To: Marc Rohatiner <mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com>

Cc: Francine Kirkpatrick < DrFKirk@verizon.net>, Patti Gallagher < p.gallagher2@verizon.net>, Steven Di Saia < Steven.DiSaia@sedgwicklaw.com>

Don't the current story poles work if the top point is in approximately the same place, just 3 to 4 feet lower. Could be sight lined or marked with more ribbon to show the impact. I know for Leslie this is simply another move to extend the process and frustrate any conclusion.

Sent from my iPad

[Quoted text hidden]

## Larry Friedman < lpfriedman1@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 11, 2015 at 12:31 PM

To: Patti Gallagher <p.gallagher2@verizon.net>, Diana Ungerleider <dianaunger3@gmail.com>, "Di Saia, Steven" <Steven.DiSaia@sedgwicklaw.com>, Karen Olan <karenolan@beckermed.com>, Syd Vinnedge <syd.vinnedge@gmail.com>, Vi Walquist <viwalquist@gmail.com>, Miriam Schulman <miriamschulman@hotmail.com>

FYI This looks pretty reasonable if the two parties can agree. This could be over -- have we said that before?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Marc Rohatiner <mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com>

Date: Wed. Feb 11. 2015 at 12:24 PM

Subject: Palisair

To: Lesley Skoro Isaacs <lesskoi@aol.com>

Cc: "LARRY FRIEDMAN (lpfriedman1@gmail.com)" < lpfriedman1@gmail.com>, "Dr. Francine Kirkpatrick"

<drfkirk@verizon.net>, Dawn Hill <mrsfourthojly@aol.com>

Leslev:

First I tried to call before sending this but I have to leave the office. I would ask that after you review this to please call me. I will be working on a brief from my home. My number is 310.837.9346. I will be there after 1:30 p.m.

I have reviewed the prior e-mails and discussed this matter further with Dawn.

As I have said from the beginning, while both sides have some differing views about how we got here, that is truly irrelevant. The fact is that during our mediation, you and your husband offered a further compromise (much appreciated) off of the plans that had already been preliminary approved by the Association which compromise was accepted in concept by the Hills. Your architect revised the plans so as to implement the compromise and based on their architect's approval, they indicated that it appeared that the revised plans correspond to what was discussed. All of this was the hard part.

As I view it, all that the Hills are now trying to do is to make sure that there is some objective means of ensuring that the plans the City ultimately approves correspond to plans they received from your architect on January 29, 2015 plans (the "Revised Plans"). Nothing contained herein represents a request by the Hills for any further changes. The agreement would include the following:

- 1. The Hills will acknowledge that they waive any other objections they might have to the Revised Plans.
- 2. The proposed garage ridge height will not exceed 10'2" above ANG (average natural grade) of 461.30 as shown on the Revised Plans.
- 3. The proposed garage and new front covered area/porch will be built at the same location and as shown on the Revised Plans.
- 4. The Hills will have the opportunity to review the final plans submitted to the City so as to ensure that they correspond to the Revised Plans.

I would hope that we can now conclude this. Let me know and I will draft a simple agreement for everyone's review.

Very truly yours,

Marc Rohatiner

Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP

11400 W. Olympic Blvd.

9th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90064

PH: 310-478-4100 Ext. 6619

FAX: 310-479-1422

E-Mail: mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive e-mails for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail to mrohatiner@wrslawyers.com, and delete the message. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by U.S. Treasury Regulation Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

--

Larry Friedman