



Diana Ungerleider &lt;dianaunger3@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Re: Palisair Question**

2 messages

---

**Francine Kirkpatrick** <DrFKirk@verizon.net>  
To: Philip Orosco <porosco@pacshorepartners.com>

Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 7:42 AM

Hi Philip,  
The 3 ft variance request by the Baumblatts was denied. In the end the PHOA approved a 6" variance which was after and completely separate from the law suit.

Francine

**Francine Kirkpatrick, MA, PhD, PsyD**

Clinical Psychology and Psychoanalysis  
16050 Anoka Drive  
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272  
[310-454-3478](tel:310-454-3478)  
[DrFKirk@verizon.net](mailto:DrFKirk@verizon.net)

---

**From:** Philip Orosco <[porosco@pacshorepartners.com](mailto:porosco@pacshorepartners.com)>**Date:** Thursday, October 20, 2016 at 4:17 PM**To:** ">" <[drfkirk@verizon.net](mailto:drfkirk@verizon.net)>**Subject:** Palisair Question

Hi Francine, I hope you are well and thanks again for serving on the HOA. I have a quick question regarding our plan to possibly scrape the house at 1085 Palisair and build new.

The previous owner was granted (or offered as part of a weird lawsuit) a 3 foot height variance. If we build new we would like to go down one-level to create a short two-story home. In that plan we would need a 3 foot variance to sneak some windows into the lower level basement.

In your opinion do you think it would be reasonably considered so long as the neighbors

don't object?

Please let me know your thoughts. Thanks and be well.

**Philip J. Orosco**

Principal

[Pacshore Partners](#)

11777 San Vicente Blvd. Suite 650

Los Angeles, California 90049

[424.259.2880](tel:424.259.2880)

[porosco@pacshorepartners.com](mailto:porosco@pacshorepartners.com)

---

**Francine Kirkpatrick** <DrFKirk@verizon.net>  
To: Philip Orosco <porosco@pacshorepartners.com>

Fri, Oct 21, 2016 at 10:45 AM

I can't predict a board ruling today. However, back then the 6 inches was considered a view obstruction but deemed not "significant" so a variance request was granted by the board but with reluctance after much deliberation, viewing of story pole, consults with neighbors, etc..

Francine

**Francine Kirkpatrick, MA, PhD, PsyD**

Clinical Psychology and Psychoanalysis

16050 Anoka Drive

Pacific Palisades, CA 90272

[310-454-3478](tel:310-454-3478)

[DrFKirk@verizon.net](mailto:DrFKirk@verizon.net)

---

**From:** Philip Orosco <[porosco@pacshorepartners.com](mailto:porosco@pacshorepartners.com)>

**Date:** Friday, October 21, 2016 at 10:34 AM

**To:** ">" <[drfkirk@verizon.net](mailto:drfkirk@verizon.net)>

**Subject:** Re: Palisair Question

Oops I misread that, sorry.

The court decision says it was approved, must be a mistake.

In any case, do you believe a 3 foot variance would be supported by the board if I got all the relevant neighbors to sign off? It shouldn't affect anyone since we are tucked into the hillside. Let me know.

**Philip J. Orosco**

Principal

[Pacshore Partners](#)

11777 San Vicente Blvd. Suite 650

Los Angeles, California 90049

[424.259.2880](tel:424.259.2880)

[porosco@pacshorepartners.com](mailto:porosco@pacshorepartners.com)

On Oct 21, 2016, at 10:02 AM, Francine Kirkpatrick <[drfkirk@verizon.net](mailto:drfkirk@verizon.net)> wrote:

It's 6 inches, not 6 feet

Francine

**Francine Kirkpatrick, MA, PhD, PsyD**

Clinical Psychology and Psychoanalysis

16050 Anoka Drive

Pacific Palisades, CA 90272

[310-454-3478](tel:310-454-3478)

[DrFKirk@verizon.net](mailto:DrFKirk@verizon.net)

---

**From:** Philip Orosco <[porosco@pacshorepartners.com](mailto:porosco@pacshorepartners.com)>

**Date:** Friday, October 21, 2016 at 9:24 AM

**To:** ">" <[drfkirk@verizon.net](mailto:drfkirk@verizon.net)>

**Subject:** Re: Palisair Question

Ok. So do we still have the benefit of the 6 foot variance? That should be more than we need.

[Quoted text hidden]